



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,603	01/20/2006	Thomas C. Schulz	18377-0067	8027
29052 7590 04/08/2008 SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309				
EXAMINER				
SAJJADI, FEREDOUN GHOTB				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1633				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/08/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/551,603

Applicant(s)

SCHULZ ET AL.

Examiner

FEREYDOUN G. SAJJADI

Art Unit

1633

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) FEREYDOUN G. SAJJADI.

(3) _____.

(2) Kathryn H. Wade.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 4-9 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rosler et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative discussed the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112 first paragraph, lack of enablement. Specifically discussed was the issue regarding the "how to use" prong of enablement, and evidence that would be forthcoming to address this issue. Additionally discussed was a limitation in claim 31, that appears not taught by the reference of Rosler et al.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Fereydoun G Sajjadi/
Examiner, Art Unit 1633

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.